

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/617,536	10/617,536 07/11/2003		Jeffrey L. Armstrong	043210-1542-00	3508	
23409	7590	06/03/2005		EXAMINER		
		FRIEDRICH, L	HURLEY, KEVIN			
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			3611		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	
10/617,536	ARMSTRONG ET AL.	ARMSTRONG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kevin Hurley	3611		

Dorona and mining on an improve Direct	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kevin Hurley	3611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or c this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evid	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ე.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 20 raise new issues. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. 		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Ka Hanly						
		Kevin Hurfey Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611						

Continuation of 13. Other: There is no showing under 37 CFR § 1.116(c) of good and sufficient reasons why the amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented .